Basic Financial Statements

Year ended June 30, 2013

## **Basic Financial Statements**

Year ended June 30, 2013

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3
Basic Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	16
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position	17
Statement of Cash Flows	18
Notes to Basic Financial Statements	20



#### Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C.

#### An Independent CPA Firm

2301 Dupont Drive, Suite 200 Irvine, California 92612 949-474-2020 ph 949-263-5520 fx www.mhm-pc.com

Board of Directors
San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority
West Covina, California

# Independent Auditors' Report

### Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority (the "Authority"), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### **Opinion**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority, as of June 30, 2013, and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Emphasis of a Matter

As described in Note 1 to the financial statements, during the year ended June 30, 2013, the Authority implemented GASB Statements No. 63 and 65. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Report on Summarized Comparative Information

We have previously audited the Authority's 2012 financial statements, and we expressed an unmodified audit opinion on those audited financial statements in our report dated January 17, 2013. In our opinion, the summarized comparative information presented herein as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012 is consistent, in all material respects, with the audited financial statements from which it has been derived.

#### Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

# Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated January 22, 2014 on our consideration of the San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Authority's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Mayer Hoffman Mc Cann P.C.

Irvine, California January 22, 2014

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

June 30, 2013

### **DESCRIPTION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority ("Authority") is a special district whose major function is to facilitate the development, financing and implementation of groundwater treatment programs in the San Gabriel Valley. The Authority presents its financial statements using the economic resources measurement focus and the full accrual basis of accounting. As an enterprise fund, the Authority's basic financial statements include the statements of net position, statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net position and statements of cash flows. The financial statements also include notes that explain information in the financial statements and provide more detailed data.

The *statements of net postion* presents information on all of the Authority's assets and liabilities, with the difference reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of financial position of the Authority.

The statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net position presents information showing how the Authority's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows, as revenues and expenses are recognized on the accrual basis of accounting.

The *statements of cash flows* is related to the other financial statements by the way it links changes in assets and liabilities to the effect on cash and cash equivalents over the course of the fiscal year.

The notes to the financial statements provide useful information regarding the Authority's significant accounting policies, and explain significant account balances and activities, certain material risks, obligations, commitments, contingencies and subsequent events, if any.

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

June 30, 2013

# SUMMARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION AND ANALYSIS

The following condensed financial information provides an overview of the Authority's financial position and financial activities for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

		June	e 30		Dollar	Percentage
		2013		2012	 <u>Change</u>	Change
Assets	***************************************					30
Current assets	\$	20,559,294	\$	21,618,492	\$ (1,059,198)	-4.9%
Capital assets		6,041,630		6,342,438	(300,808)	-4.7%
Construction in progress		29,235,868		33,095,529	(3,859,661)	-11.7%
Noncurrent assets	·	4,038,499		4,348,548	 (310,049)	<u>-7.1%</u>
Total assets		59,875,291		65,405,007	(5,529,716)	<u>-8.5%</u>
Liabilities						
Current liabilities		8,748,591		8,569,700	178,891	2.1%
Noncurrent liabilities		4,015,911		4,325,959	(310,048)	<u>-7.2%</u>
Total liabilities		12,764,502		12,895,659	 (131,157)	-1.0%
Net Position						
Invested in capital assets		6,041,630		6,342,438	(300,808)	-4.7%
Invested in construction		, ,		-,,	(200,000)	1.7 70
in progress		29,235,868		33,095,529	(3,859,661)	-11.7%
Restricted		9,037,367		10,710,452	(1,673,085)	-15.6%
Unrestricted		2,795,924		2,360,929	434,995	18.4%
Total net position		47,110,789		52,509,348	 (5,398,559)	<u>-10.3%</u>
Total liabilities and net						
position	\$	59,875,291	\$	65,405,007	\$ (5,529,716)	- <u>8.5</u> %

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

June 30, 2013

### Summary of Statement of Net Position

*Current Assets* - For the year ended June 30, 2013, current assets total \$20.6M, and are composed primarily of \$16.1M of cash and investments and \$3.5M of accounts receivable, a decrease of \$1.1M or 4.9% over the prior year. For the prior year ended June 30, 2012, current assets totaled \$21.6M, and were composed primarily of \$17.4M of cash and investments and \$3.3M of accounts receivable.

At June 30, 2013, accounts receivable includes \$1.3M due from the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) for Title XVI grants related to capital costs. Also included is \$775K due from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for pending reimbursements for operations and maintenance (O&M) costs incurred for projects in the South El Monte Operable Unit (SEMOU). The EPA receivable represents a decrease of \$841K or 52.0% from FY 2012. Accounts receivable also includes \$1.5M due from Potentially Responsible Parties (PRPs) primarily related to project O&M costs. This represents a decrease of \$141K or 7.0% from FY 2012. Also included in current assets is \$378K of Spare Parts Inventory, comprised of various replacement parts and other non-chemical consumables used for the O&M of the treatment facilities in the BPOU and \$310K representing the current portion of a note receivable and interest receivable related to a loan with the State Water Resources Control Board Department of Toxic Substances (see the description under Noncurrent

*Capital Assets* - During FY 2013, net purchases of new office equipment totaled \$6,244. The current year transactions, including depreciation of \$307,053, resulted in a net decrease in capital assets of \$300,809, or 4.7%.


### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS


June 30, 2013

### Summary of Statement of Net Position (continued)

Construction in Progress (CIP) - The Authority, through agreements with local water producers and PRPs has agreed to provide capital funding for various projects in the San Gabriel Basin. Capital costs associated with these projects are categorized and accounted for in CIP. Project capital costs include land acquisition costs, construction costs, professional fees, labor costs and other related project costs. Through agreement, the projects have been funded by the Authority Assessments, PRPs, Water Producers, and Federal Funding and State Funding contributions. The contributions received for projects under construction are recorded as capital contributions. Upon completion, costs related to projects owned by the Authority are transferred to capital assets and depreciated, and costs related to projects where title is retained by the water producer are retired from CIP and the associated capital costs are distributed from contributed capital.

As of June 30, 2013, CIP totaled \$29.2M, a net decrease of \$3.8M or 11.7% from FY 2012. Approximately 25% of the CIP costs are related to the BPOU, 29% are related to the El Monte Operable Unit (EMOU) and 45% are related to the Puente Valley Operable Unit (PVOU). During 2013, CIP costs totaled \$3.1M for the continuing design and construction of the treatment facilities in the EMOU and PVOU and the continuing design and construction of single pass treatment facilities in the BPOU. CIP was reduced by \$6.9M related to the completion of the EMOU West Side Shallow project which was transferred to the water producers. Shown below is a composition of CIP by operable unit as of June 30, 2013 and 2012.





### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

June 30, 2013

### Summary of Statement of Net Position (continued)

Construction in Progress (CIP) (continued) - Listed below is a general description of the major projects currently under construction and included in CIP as of June 30, 2013.

#### BALDWIN PARK OPERABLE UNIT

San Gabriel Valley Water Company Plant B6 Treatment Facility

Current costs relate primarily to the design and construction of a single pass ion exchange treatment system, replacing the existing regenerable ion exchange treatment equipment.

#### EL MONTE OPERABLE UNIT

Eastside Shallow / Southeast Deep Remedy - Eastside Performing Settling Defendants

Current costs relate primarily to the design and pre-construction activities of extraction wells, re-injection wells, conveyance pipelines and a VOC Treatment Facility.

#### PUENTE VALLEY OPERABLE UNIT

Intermediate Zone Remedy - San Gabriel Valley Water Company Plant B7 - Northrop

Current costs relate primarily to the design and construction of extraction wells, conveyance pipelines, and a VOC treatment facility located at San Gabriel Valley Water Company's Plant B7.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

June 30, 2013

### Summary of Statement of Net Position (continued)

Noncurrent Assets/Noncurrent Liabilities - During prior years, the Authority received a total of \$6,440,000 in loan proceeds from the Department of Toxic Substances Control through the State Water Resources Control Board for reimbursement of project costs related to the Valley County Water District Subarea 1 (SA1) project located in the BPOU. At June 30, 2013, the noncurrent portion of the note payable totaled \$4.0M. The BPOU PRPs have an agreement with the Authority to repay the amount of the loan and accrued interest, in accordance with the Authority's repayment terms with the State Water Resources Control Board. Accordingly, the noncurrent portion of the loan receivable is recorded as a noncurrent asset, with a balance of \$4.0M as of June 30, 2013.

Restricted Net Assets - For FY 2013, restricted net assets total \$9.04M, which is a decrease of \$1.7M or 15.6% from FY 2012. The restricted net assets includes cash, investments and receivables comprised primarily from federal funding and settlement funds. The Authority has entered into several agreements with USBR to provide funding through two federal programs (Title XVI and Restoration Funds) for water treatment facilities located in the San Gabriel Basin. The funds are provided on a reimbursement basis and then applied to projects through the Authority's Federal Funding Program Administration (FFPA). The Authority has also entered into a Cooperative Agreement with EPA to provide funding for water treatment facilities in the SEMOU. These funds are provided on an advance basis and once received by the Authority must be paid to the water producers within a few days. In addition to the funding from USBR and EPA, the Authority has reached several financial settlements with PRPs in the BPOU, SEMOU and EMOU. These settlement funds are deposited into the Authority accounts and are disbursed for capital and O&M costs incurred or to be incurred in connection with the specific projects identified in the agreements.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

### June 30, 2013

# Summary of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Net Position

		June	30			Dollar Change	Percentage Change
		2013		2012	•		
Total Operating Revenues Total Operating Expenses	\$	23,612,216 23,993,797	\$	25,003,002 25,348,816	\$	(1,390,786) (1,355,019)	-5.6% -5.3%
Operating Loss		(381,581)		(345,814)		(35,767)	10.3%
Nonoperating Revenues Nonoperating (Expenses)		153,973 (7,062,024)		171,620 (523,067)		(17,647) (6,538,957)	-10.3% 1250.1%
Loss before Capital							
Contributions		(7,289,632)		(697,261)		(6,592,371)	945.5%
Capital Contributions	***************************************	1,891,073	***************************************	4,794,162		(2,903,089)	<u>-60.6%</u>
Changes in net position	*****	(5,398,559)		4,096,901	-	(9,495,460)	<u>-231.8%</u>
Beginning net position		52,509,348	-	48,412,447	***************************************	4,096,901	<u>8.5%</u>
Ending net position	\$	47,110,789	\$	52,509,348	\$	(5,398,559)	<u>-10.3%</u>

*Operating Revenues* - The primary revenue variances were related to contributions from PRPs, federal funding awards, and assessments.

PRP Contributions - By agreement, O&M costs for projects located primarily in the BPOU and SEMOU are paid through the Authority. For FY 2013, the Authority received and recognized as revenue \$17.7M in funding from the PRPs for costs related to these projects, a decrease of \$2.0M or 11.2% over the prior year. For FY 2012, the Authority received and recognized as revenue \$19.7M in funding from the PRPs for costs related to these projects

WQA Federal Funding Awards - The Authority recognizes as income certain federal awards paid for project capital and O&M costs. During FY 2013, \$3.8M was recognized as revenue for project capital and O&M costs, an increase of \$301K or 8.5%. During FY 2012, \$3.5M was recognized as revenue for project capital and O&M costs.

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

June 30, 2013

## Summary of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Net Position (continued)

Operating Expenses - The five major areas of expense variances that developed during the fiscal year were related to professional services, discharge permitting activities, public relations, project O&M costs, and project grants.

**Professional Services** - Costs incurred during 2013 include legal costs related to the SEMOU and litigation with potential PRPs, costs for general legal counsel, the services of a computer consultant, an outside accountant and an engineering firm. FY 2013 professional services totaled \$188K, a decrease of \$142K, or 43.0% from the prior year. This decrease was expected and is due primarily to a reduction in legal fees related to the resolution of issues in the SEMOU and litigation with potential PRPs.

Discharge Permitting Activities - During FY 2012, the Authority became involved in an effort to assist in the resolution of discharge permitting issues for water producers and PRPs in the San Gabriel Basin. Costs for the project are estimated to be \$330K, with \$188K expended in FY 2013 and \$102K expended during FY 2012.

**Public Relations** - During FY 2013, the Authority continued to expand its public and community relations. Efforts included informational advertorials in the local newspapers, a social media campaign, and participation in an informational water forum held locally with participation by Water Producers, PRPS, local legislators, and other interested parties. The Authority also published its second annual report.

**Project O&M Costs** - These costs relate primarily to projects operating in the BPOU and SEMOU. The costs and the corresponding revenues are recognized when they are paid by the Authority. Although the majority of these costs were funded through PRPs, approximately \$1.6M in costs were funded by federal funding sources.

**Project Grants -** The items included in this category include certain payments for project capital and O&M costs. For FY 2013, the project grant expense related to federal funding payments for capital and O&M totaled \$1.2M and USBR reimbursements related to the USGVMWD Water Recycling Project totaled \$1.2M. For FY 2012, project grant expense included federal funding payments in the amount of \$2.1M.

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

June 30, 2013

# Summary of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position (continued)

*Nonoperating Revenues* (*Expenses*) - This item relates primarily to the transfers of completed capital projects described at page 6 in the category "Construction in Progress".

<u>Capital Contributions</u>		June 30			
		2013		2012	
Governmental - Federal	\$	165,953	\$	158,509	
Governmental - State		-		-	
Responsible Parties		2,190,608		4,831,796	
Water Producers		-		_	
Capital Assessment		(465,488)		(196,143)	
Capital Contributions	***************************************	1,891,073		4,794,162	

The majority of the current year capital contributions were derived from PRPs. Revenues that are restricted for capital expenditures are recorded as capital contributions. As funding is received for capital projects, it is recorded as a capital contribution and the corresponding costs are recorded as CIP.

Budgetary Highlights - Operating Budget

Operating Revenues	Fiscal Year 013 Actual	Fiscal Year 013 Budget	<u>Variance</u>
Assessment	\$ 1,898,160	\$ 2,027,575	\$ (129,415)
Responsible Parties	17,725,504	32,003,044	(14,277,540)
Authority Federal Funding Awards	3,821,335	7,586,416	(3,765,081)
State Funding Awards	167,217	161,000	6,217
Water Producers	 _	 3,967,392	(3,967,392)
Total Operating Revenues	\$ 23,612,216	\$ 45,745,427	\$(22,133,211)

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

June 30, 2013

### Budgetary Highlights - Operating Budget (continued)

Assessment - Assessment income is recognized during the year in which it is earned. For budget purposes, any unused assessment revenue from prior years is carried over to the next fiscal year and used as a factor to determine the subsequent years assessment. For FY 2013 the assessment was \$7.25 per acre foot of prescriptive pumping rights. A total of \$1.4M was assessed during 2013.

Responsible Parties/Federal Funding Awards/Water Producers - The FY 2013 budget included costs related to projects in the SEMOU, PVOU, BPOU, EMOU, and Area Three Operable Unit (ATOU). The costs were budgeted to be funded through contributions from PRPs, federal funds, and water producers. The costs are expensed and the corresponding contributions recognized as revenue when paid by the Authority. The FY 2013 revenues are lower than budgeted due to the timing of the cost reimbursements. Additionally, the budget for water producers is shown as \$3.9M, however these costs are not incurred by the Authority, but rather the water producers and therefore are not included as revenues for the Authority.

<u>Project Expenses</u>	Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	Budget
	2013 Actual	2013 Budget	<u>Variance</u>
Project Grants/Awards	\$ 2,510,091	\$ 4,207,637	\$ (1,697,546)
Project O&M	18,976,304	15,832,264	3,144,040
<b>Total Project Expenses</b>	<u>\$ 21,486,395</u>	<u>\$ 20,039,901</u>	<u>\$ 1,446,494</u>

Project Grants/Awards & Project O&M - The variance in Project Grants/Awards is attributable primarily to EPA grants totaling \$1.6M that were recorded as O&M costs rather than Project Grants/Awards for FY 2013. If included as Project Grants/Awards, the actual would have been less than budget by \$142K. The O&M variance is attributable primarily to the BPOU, as O&M costs exceeded budgeted costs by \$1.2M and the SEMOU as \$1.6M in O&M costs had been budgeted as Project Grants/Awards. The O&M costs are funded by PRPs, federal funding and water producer contributions; the Project Grants/Awards are funded by federal funding and PRP contributions. Costs related to these projects are recorded when invoices are received by the Authority and the supporting documentation is in accordance with the Authority procedures.

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

June 30, 2013

### Budgetary Highlights - Capital Budget

Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	Budget
2013 Actual	2013 Budget	<u>Variance</u>

#### El Monte Operable Unit

• Prime Contractor Costs

\$ 942,583 \$ 9,219,172 \$ (8,276,589)

The budgeted costs relate to the Shallow West project, the Shallow East project, and the ESPSD/City of El Monte project. The Shallow West project was completed in FY 2013. The Shallow East and ESPSD/ City of El Monte projects have been delayed by institutional and regulatory processes. The projects are funded through a combination of federal funding and PRPs contributions.

### **Baldwin Park Operable Unit**

Prime Contractor Costs

\$ 131,006 \$ 7,740,074 \$ (7,609,068)

The Prime contractor costs for the BPOU included projects for Treatment Facilities at , CDWC's Well 14, VCWD's SA-1, and Covina Irrigating Company's Wellhead. The projects costs are lower than budgeted due to unforeseen delays in the construction process. Project costs incurred are funded by PRPs and federal funding contributions.

# Puente Valley Operable Unit

• Prime Contractor Costs

\$ 1,862,123 \$ 9,338,512 \$ (7,476,389)

The costs incurred for the PVOU SGVWC Plant B7 project are significantly under budget for 2013. The construction phase began in FY 2008, but has been delayed due to institutional and regulatory processes. The project is expected to be completed during next two fiscal years. The project is funded through contributions from federal funding, PRPs, and a water producer.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

June 30, 2013

### Budgetary Highlights - Capital Budget (continued)

Fiscal Year Fiscal Year Budget 2013 Actual 2013 Budget Variance

### South El Monte Operable Unit

Prime Contractor Costs

\$ 119,711 \$ 1,572,087 \$ (1,452,376)

The Prime Contractor costs for the SEMOU include projects for the City of Monterey Park's Wells 5 and 6 and SGVWC's Plant 8. These projects have been delayed, and are anticipated to start construction in FY 2014. The funding for these projects is being provided by federal funding, water producers and the City of Monterey Park.

# **Other Projects**

Prime Contractor Costs

\$ - \$ - \$

The Prime Contractor costs for the Other Projects Category are related to treatment facility projects for the City of Monrovia and the City of Arcadia. The funding for these projects is being provided by federal funding and the Cities. No costs were budgeted or incurred in FY 2013 as these projects have been delayed, and are anticipated to start construction in FY 2014.


#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

June 30, 2013

#### Economic Factors

Assessment - The Authority's Board of Directors and management considered many factors when determining the FY 2013 assessment. By securing funding from outside sources such as Federal funding, State funding, and funding from PRPs, the Authority has been able to minimize assessment dollars needed to fund administrative and project costs. As such, for FY 2013 the Authority's assessment remained at \$7.25 per acre foot for the tenth consecutive year. The table below presents the historical annual assessment rate per acre foot since the Authority's inception.

Future assessments will largely be determined by Congressional appropriations, pending litigation and potential settlements with PRPs.



### **Statement of Net Position**

June 30, 2013 (with comparative information for 2012)

	****	2013	2012
Assets:			
Current assets:			
Cash and investments (note 2)	\$	16,096,774	17,436,201
Accounts receivable (note 3)		3,585,307	3,295,222
Inventories		377,802	377,802
Prepaid expenses and other receivables		62,653	67,140
Interest receivable		126,710	140,230
Current portion of note receivable (note 4)		310,048	301,897
Total current assets		20,559,294	21,618,492
Noncurrent assets:			
Capital assets (note 5):			
Construction in progress		29,235,868	33,095,529
Other capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation		6,041,630	6,342,439
Deposits		22,588	22,588
Note receivable, net of current portion (note 4)		4,015,911	4,325,959
Total noncurrent assets	W- And Andrews	39,315,997	43,786,515
Total Assets	\$	59,875,291	65,405,007
Liabilities:			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$	2,990,923	2,420,913
Accrued expenses		847,610	2,451,150
Interest payable		116,801	124,952
Unearned revenue		4,483,209	3,270,788
Current portion of note payable (note 6)		310,048	301,897
Total current liabilities	***************************************	8,748,591	8,569,700
Noncurrent liabilities:			
Note payable, net of current portion (note 6)	***************************************	4,015,911	4,325,959
Total noncurrent liabilities		4,015,911	4,325,959
Total liabilities	*FAMILY AND A	12,764,502	12,895,659
Net Position:			
Net investment in capital assets		35,277,498	39,437,967
Restricted		9,037,367	10,710,452
Unrestricted		2,795,924	2,360,929
Total net position	***************************************	47,110,789	52,509,348
Total liabilities and net position	\$	59,875,291	65,405,007

# Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position

Year ended June 30, 2013

(with comparative information for 2012)

	-	2013	2012
Operating Revenues:			
Pumping right assessments	\$	1,898,160	1,628,816
Responsible party contributions		17,725,504	19,711,527
WQA federal funding awards		3,821,335	3,520,657
WQA state funding	-	167,217	142,002
Total operating revenues		23,612,216	25,003,002
Operating Expenses:			
Administrative salaries		666,712	657,886
Fringe benefits		213,488	242,795
Consulting		639,990	543,044
Professional services		188,227	330,529
Office rent		89,275	81,429
Supplies		15,818	14,982
Insurance		47,013	34,327
Public relations		95,602	114,804
Travel and conferences		61,747	37,412
Telephone and utilities		6,635	6,043
Dues and subscriptions		19,194	17,649
Board member fees		52,922	50,402
Equipment rent and maintenance		28,431	33,352
Depreciation		307,297	299,152
Miscellaneous expense		26,516	2,878
Project O&M costs		18,859,504	20,690,810
Project grants		2,510,091	2,088,633
Discharge permit activities		165,335	102,689
Total operating expenses		23,993,797	25,348,816
Operating income (loss)		(381,581)	(345,814)
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):			
Interest income		153,973	171,564
Interest expense		(116,800)	(124,952)
Other income		-	56
Transfer of capital assets to water producers		(6,945,224)	(398,115)
Net nonoperating revenues (expenses)	*************	(6,908,051)	(351,447)
Capital contributions	washing on the same of the sam	1,891,073	4,794,162
Changes in net position		(5,398,559)	4,096,901
Net position at beginning of year	-	52,509,348	48,412,447
Net position at end of year	\$	47,110,789	52,509,348

## Statement of Cash Flows

Year ended June 30, 2013

(with comparative information for 2012)

	2013	2012
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Cash from all operating revenues	\$ 23,320,630	25,978,327
Cash paid to suppliers for goods and services	(23,829,963)	(24,793,908)
Cash paid to employees for services	(880,200)	(900,691)
Net cash (used) provided by operating activities	(1,389,533)	283,728
Cash flows from noncapital financing activities:		
Other income	-	56
Proceeds received from note receivable	313,253	293,959
Interest received from note receivable	124,952	132,889
Payments on note payable	(310,048)	(293,959)
Interest paid on note payable	(124,952)	(132,889)
Net cash provided (used) by noncapital		
financing activities	3,205	56
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:		
Acquisition of capital assets	(8,203)	(28,766)
Construction in progress expenditures	(3,085,563)	(4,635,598)
Capital contributions	3,103,494	8,091,858
Net cash (used) provided by capital and		
related financing activities	9,728	3,427,494
Cash flows from investing activities:	•	
Interest received on investments	37,173	48,170
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	37,173	48,170
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(1,339,427)	3,759,448
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	17,436,201	13,676,753
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 16,096,774	17,436,201

(Continued)

# **Statements of Cash Flows**

(Continued)

		2013	2012
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Operating income (loss)	\$	(381,581)	(345,814)
Adjustments to reconcile operating income		, , ,	( ), ,
(loss) to net cash provided by (used for)			
operating activities:			
Depreciation		307,297	299,152
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable		(290,085)	1,109,530
(Increase) decrease in inventories		-	(34,708)
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses		4,487	(23,586)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities		(1,029,651)	(720,846)
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	<u>\$</u>	(1,389,533)	283,728
Noncash capital, investing and financing activities:			
		2013	2012
Transfer of capital assets to water producers	\$	6,945,224	398,115

#### Notes to Basic Financial Statements

Year ended June 30, 2013

### (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### (a) Nature of Organization

The San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority initially named as the Main San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority was formed in 1990 as a joint powers authority (JPA) in order to finance and construct clean up projects to purify the contaminated groundwater within the San Gabriel Valley. The Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster, Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District, Three Valleys Municipal Water District and San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District were members of this JPA and provided it with a source of funding for its operations. On February 11, 1993, the Main San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority was converted by the State Legislature (SB 1679 - The San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority Act) from a JPA to a special act district and renamed the San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority (the "Authority"). Under the direction of a seven-member Board, the major functions of the Authority are to develop, finance and implement groundwater treatment programs in the San Gabriel Valley. The legislative act authorized the Authority to impose pumping right assessments to carry out its treatment activities.

Senate Bill No. 429 became law in September, 2013, amending certain sections of the San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority Act and extending the Act until July 1, 2030.

# (b) Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation

The Authority is accounted for as an enterprise fund (proprietary fund type). A fund is an accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts established to record the financial position and results of operations of a specific governmental activity. The activities of enterprise funds closely resemble those of ongoing businesses in which the purpose is to conserve and add to basic resources while meeting operating expenses from current revenues. Enterprise funds account for operations that provide services on a continuous basis and are substantially financed by revenues derived from user charges. The Authority utilizes the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized as they are incurred.

The Authority applies all applicable GASB pronouncements in accounting and reporting for proprietary operations as well as the following pronouncements issued on or before November 30, 1989, unless those pronouncements conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements: Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statements and Interpretations, Accounting Principles Board (AFB) Opinions, and Accounting Research Bulletins (ARB's) of the Committee on Accounting Procedure. The Authority has elected to not adopt private sector guidelines issues after November 30, 1989.

#### Notes to Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

# Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, (Continued)

# (b) Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation, (Continued)

The Authority distinguishes operating revenues and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from pumping right assessments and contributions. Operating expenses include project expenses, general and administrative expenses and depreciation of capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses.

Capital contributions consist of contributed capital assets, and other charges that are legally restricted for capital expenditures by state law or by the Board action that established those charges.

Net position of the Authority have been reported as restricted when their use is constrained more narrowly than the reporting unit in which they are reported as a result of state laws governing such use. When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, the Authority uses unrestricted resources first, and then restricted resources as they are needed. For capital expenditures, legally available restricted bond proceeds are used first, and then other restricted resources, then unrestricted resources are used if needed.

#### (c) Inventories

Inventories consist of replacement parts for various treatment facilities. Inventories are stated at lower of cost or market on the first in first out basis.

#### (d) Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are recorded at net realizable value. Management believes that accounts receivable are fully collectible. Therefore, no allowance for doubtful accounts is reflected on the Statement of Net Position at June 30, 2013.

#### (e) Capital Assets

Capital assets are valued at cost where historical records are available and at an estimated historical cost where no historical records exist. Donated assets are valued at their estimated fair market value on the date received. The Authority capitalizes all assets with a historical cost of at least \$5,000 and a useful life of at least 5 years. The cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the assets or materially extend asset lives are not capitalized.

#### Notes to Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

# (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, (Continued)

Depreciation is computed utilizing the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Office equipment	5 years
Office furniture	10 years
Treatment plant equipment	10 years
Treatment plants	35 years
Monitoring wells	35 years

### (f) Construction in Progress

Project capital costs are accumulated as construction in progress over the life of the construction. The Authority believes that it is responsible for the asset's management during the construction phase. Once a project is completed, the asset is "transferred" to the related water entity who takes over the management and maintenance of the asset at that time.

Water being treated in the treatment facilities frequently requires more than one type of treatment. A treatment facility may be operational but construction is ongoing to develop additional treatment processes to remediate newly detected contamination or to more efficiently address existing contamination. In these circumstances, if the construction is ongoing, the Authority will retain the project in construction-in-progress until the entire project is completed, even though portions of that project may have some involvement in water treatment activities.

### (g) Cash Equivalents

For the purposes of the statement of cash flows, cash equivalents are defined as short-term, highly liquid investments that are both readily convertible to known amounts of cash or so near to their maturity that they present insignificant risk of changes in value because of changes in interest rates, and have an original maturity date of 3 months or less.

#### (h) Investments

Investments are reported in the accompanying Statement of Net Position at fair value, except for certain investment contracts that are reported at cost because they are not transferable and they have terms that are not affected by changes in market interest rates.

Changes in fair value that occur during the fiscal year are recognized as *interest income* reported for that fiscal year. *Interest income* also includes interest earnings.

### Notes to Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

# (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, (Continued)

### (i) Pumping Right Assessments

On September 19, 1992, the California state legislature approved legislation to allow the Authority to levy a pumping right assessment on holders of prescriptive (as determined by Superior Court Judgment) pumping rights. Prior to fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, the pumping right assessment consisted of two components, a capital assessment and an administrative assessment. Assembly Bill 2544 amended this practice and combined the capital and administrative assessment into one annual pumping right assessment.

Pumping right assessments are imposed, on an as needed basis, after other revenue sources, such as private party, state and federal funding, are budgeted. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, the annual pumping right assessment was \$7.25 per acre-foot.

The Authority records all incoming funds as operating revenues. Funds are received from the United States Bureau of Reclamation ("USBR"), United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), Water Producers, Potentially Responsible Parties ("PRPs"), California State Water Resource Control Board ("SWRCB") and assessments on water rights holders in the San Gabriel Basin. The Authority incurs three types of costs: project costs (capital), operating and maintenance costs (project operating costs) and administrative costs.

# (j) Accrued Liabilities and Accounts Payable

The Authority records accounts payable liabilities when invoices are approved for payment by the authorizing entity, which can be the Authority, PRPs or Water Entities.

#### Administrative Costs

These costs relate to administrative costs, including payroll and benefits, incurred by the Authority, and are funded by assessments. A liability is recorded when an invoice is approved by the Authority. The liability is recorded in the same time period as the cost/expense is incurred.

### Project Costs incurred by the Authority

These costs include legal, government relations, community relations, and costs related to projects owned and operated by the Authority. These costs are either funded by PRPs (Baldwin Park Operable Unit, ("BPOU")), partially funded by PRPS (El Monte Operable Unit, ("EMOU")) and Puente Valley Operable Unit, ("PVOU")) or funded by the Authority's assessments (EMOU, PVOU, South El

#### Notes to Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

# (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, (Continued)

# (j) Accrued Liabilities and Accounts Payable, (Continued)

Monte Operable Unit, ("SEMOU")) and The Area Three Operable Unit, ("ATOU"). Generally, the liability is recorded in the same time period as the cost is incurred.

# Project Costs Incurred by PRPs and Water Producers and Paid by the Authority

As a part of its role in managing the quality of the water in the San Gabriel basin, the Authority will pay for certain costs for which the PRPs are financially responsible. Typically, these costs will be incurred by water producers and then submitted by the water producers to be considered for reimbursement. The process required to approve these costs for reimbursement requires input from various parties. Once a cost has been approved for reimbursement, the Authority reports an expense and a liability for the qualified cost (to reflect the amount due to the producer). An equal amount of revenue (and a receivable) is also reported for the amount of reimbursement approved for collection from the PRP. In the event that a cost is not approved for reimbursement, the Authority has no liability and the cost remains an unrecovered expense of the water producer.

#### (k) Unearned Revenue

The Authority records unearned revenue when it receives funds from the PRPs through the various Cooperating Parties Agreements. By agreement, the funds are required to be used to pay eligible project costs to the water producers. The funds are not considered earned until the water producers submit requests for reimbursement to the Authority and are in agreement that the costs are eligible for reimbursement.

#### (1) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### **Notes to Basic Financial Statements**

(Continued)

# (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, (Continued)

Estimates are also required to determine potential impairment of long-lived assets such as property, plant and equipment. Assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. Such events or circumstances include, but are not limited to, a significant decrease in the fair value of the equipment due to obsolescence, or a significant decrease in benefits realized from the equipment. Management is not aware of any circumstances that would lead to a material impairment of any long-lived assets.

### (m) Comparative Financial Statements and Reclassifications

The information included in the accompanying financial statements for the prior year has been presented for comparison purposes only and does not represent a complete presentation in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Certain amounts presented in the prior year financial statements have been reclassified in order to be consistent with the current year's presentation.

# (n) Deferred Outflows/ Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of net position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/ expenditure) until then. The Authority does not have any items that qualify in this category.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of net position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The Authority does not have any items that qualify for this category.

Net position flow assumption

Sometimes the government will fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted (e.g., restricted bond or grant proceeds) and unrestricted resources. In order to calculate the amounts to report as restricted – net position and unrestricted – net position in the financial statements, a flow assumption must be made about the order in which the resources are considered to be applied. It is the government's policy to consider restricted – net position to have been depleted before unrestricted – net position is applied.

#### Notes to Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

#### (2) Cash and Investments

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2013 consist of the following:

Cash on hand Deposits with financial institutions Investments	\$ 296 146,991 _15,949,487
Total cash and investments	\$16,096,774

<u>Investments Authorized by the California Government Code, the California Water Code, and the Authority's Investment Policy</u>

The following table identifies the investment types that are authorized for the Authority by the California Government Code, and the Authority's investment policy, whichever is most restrictive. The table also identifies certain provisions of the California Government Code, and the Authority's investment policy that address interest rate risk and concentration of credit risk. This table does not address investments of debt proceeds held by bond trustee that are governed by the provisions of debt agreements of the Authority, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the Authority's investment policy.

Maniana	
Maximum Percentage Investi	nent
Authorized Investment type Maturity Allowed In One	ssuer
Local Agency Bonds 5 years None Nor	e
U.S. Treasury Obligations 5 years None Nor	e
U.S. Agency Securities 5 years None Nor	e
Banker's Acceptances 180 days 40% 30%	<b>6</b>
Commercial Paper 270 days 25% 10%	6
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit 5 years 30% Non	e
Repurchase Agreements 1 year None None	e
Reverse Repurchase Agreements 92 days 20% of base value Non	e
Medium-Term Notes 5 years 30% Non	e
Money Market Mutual Funds N/A 20% 10%	Ó
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities 5 years 20% Non	е
Orange County Treasury Pool N/A None None	e
Local Agency Investment Fund N/A None None	e
(LAIF)	

#### Notes to Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (2) Cash and Investments, (Continued)

#### Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for *deposits* is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The custodial credit risk for *investments* is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g., broker-dealer) to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure public agency deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the secured public deposits.

### Disclosure Relating to Credit Risk

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization.

# Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value is to changes in market interest rates.

#### Notes to Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (2) Cash and Investments, (continued)

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the Authority's investments to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the distribution of the Authority's investments by maturity:

	***************************************	Remaining Maturity			
Investment Local Agency	Amount	12 Months Or Less	13 to 36 Months	37-60 <u>Months</u>	Over 60 Months
Investment Fund*	\$15,949,487	15,949,487	_		
Total	<u>\$15,949,487</u>	15,949,487		•••	

<sup>\*</sup> The local agency investment fund is not rated.

#### Investment in State Investment Pool

The District is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by the California Government Code under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The fair value of the District's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis.

#### (3) Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consist of the following at June 30, 2013:

Federal funding	Ü	,	\$ 2,093,908
State funding			31,481
Responsible parties (PRPs)			1,405,068
Assessments			51,063
Other			3,787

\$3,585,307

#### (4) Note Receivable

During the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2005, the Authority loaned funds to certain PRPs for reimbursement of costs incurred in connection with construction of a treatment facility at the Arrow/Lante Well site. The PRPs started repaying the loans in July 2005. The repayments are occurring over a twenty-year term on a fully amortizable basis. Interest accrues at the rate of 2.7% per annum. At June 30, 2013, the note receivable for the Authority was \$4,325,959.

# Notes to Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (5) Capital Assets

A summary of changes in capital assets at June 30, 2013 follows:

	Beginning Balance June 30, 2012	Additions	<u>Deletions</u>	Ending Balance June 30, 2013
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Construction in progress	\$ 33,095,529	3,085,563	(6,945,224)	29,235,868
Total capital assets not being depreciated	33,095,529	3,085,563	(6,945,224)	29,235,868
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Office furniture and equipment BPOU monitoring wells	242,242	8,203	(1,959)	248,486
SEM sentinel well	8,792,835 102,437	-	-	8,792,835
SEM Bozung Treatment Facility	890,310	-	-	102,437
	000,010			<u>890,310</u>
	_10,027,824	8,203	(1,959)	10,034,068
Less accumulated depreciation:				
Office furniture and equipment	(167,507)	(27,709)	243	(194,973)
BPOU monitoring wells SEM sentinel well	(3,379,998)	(251,222)	-	(3,631,221)
SEM Bozung Treatment Facility	(23,415)	(2,926)	-	(26,341)
SEW Bozung Treatment Facility	(114,465)	(25,438)	_	(139,903)
	_(3,685,385)	(307,295)	243	(3,992,438)
Total capital assets being				
depreciated, net	6,342,439	(299,092)	(1,716)	6,041,630
Total capital assets, net	\$ 39,437,968	2,786,471	(6,946,940)	35,277,499

# (6) Note Payable

During the year ended June 30, 2004, the Authority was granted a loan from the SWRCB. As of June 30, 2005, \$6,440,000 had been funded from the loan. The loan accrues interest at a rate of 2.7% per annum from the dates funds were disbursed and the interest accrued was included in a fully amortized balance with payments over a twenty-year period commencing in July 2005.

### Notes to Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (6) Note Payable, (Continued)

The funds received under this agreement were loaned to certain PRPs in connection with the construction of a groundwater remediation facility. The Authority will be repaid under terms identical to those granted it by the SWRCB.

Changes in long-term debt for the year ended June 30, 2013 are as follows:

	Beginning Balance June 30, 2012	Additions	Payments	Ending Balance June 30, 2013	Due Within <u>One year</u>
Note payable	<u>\$4,627,855</u>		(301,897)	4,325,959	310,048

Payments of principal and interest for each of the next five fiscal years increments thereafter are as follows:

Years ending June 30	<b>Principal</b>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019-2023 2024-2025	\$ 310,048 318,419 327,016 335,846 344,914 1,869,390 820,327	116,801 108,430 99,832 91,003 81,935 264,853 33,371	426,849 426,849 426,849 426,849 426,849 2,134,243 853,697
	<u>\$4,325,959</u>	<u>796,225</u>	<u>5,122,183</u>

### (7) Capital Contributions

Capital contributions include the following:

### Governmental

The United States Bureau of Reclamation ("USBR"), under the Title XVI and the Restoration Funds programs, has provided funding for design, planning and construction for treatment facilities in the Baldwin Park ("BPOU"), South El Monte ("SEMOU"), El Monte ("EMOU") and Puente Valley ("PVOU") Operable Units.

#### Water Producers

The Authority has entered into agreements with water producers for the design, construction and operation of treatment facilities in the BPOU, SEMOU, PVOU and EMOU operable units. The revenue restricted for capital is included in capital contributions.

#### Notes to Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (7) Contributed Capital, (Continued)

#### Responsible Parties

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) identified several private companies referred to as Potentially Responsible Parties (PRPs) as being responsible for groundwater contamination in the Main San Gabriel Basin (the Basin). Several companies named by the EPA as PRPs have formed coalitions to facilitate the cleanup of the Basin's groundwater supply by providing funding for capital construction in the BPOU, SEMOU, PVOU and EMOU.

During the year ended June 30, 2002, the Authority became a party to the BPOU Project Agreement. Under the agreement, PRPs agreed to provide funding for the design, construction, operation, maintenance and management of groundwater extraction, treatment and distribution facilities within the BPOU. The portion related to the design and construction are recorded as capital contributions.

The Authority is a party to the SEMOU Cooperating Parties Agreements which were initially entered into in 2003. The agreements called for the SEMOU Cooperating Parties to provide funding to pay, partially pay or reimburse the water entities for capital and operating and maintenance costs incurred or to be incurred in connection with certain projects outlined in the Agreements. During the current year additional agreements with SEMOU Cooperating Parties were finalized.

#### (8) Pension Plan

The Authority sponsors a Money Purchase Pension Plan under Internal Revenue Code section 401(a) for the benefit of its employees who have attained the age of 21 and have completed 1,000 hours of service. The Authority contributes on behalf of the employees 12.726% of their covered compensation up to and not to exceed the lesser of \$30,000 or 25% of covered compensation. The Authority's contributions to the Plan totaled \$86,081 for the year ended June 30, 2013.

### (9) Deferred Compensation Plan

The Authority offers its employees a deferred compensation plan created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457. The Plan, available to all Authority employees, permits them to defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, death or an unforeseeable emergency.

#### Notes to Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

### (10) Commitments and Contingencies

#### Leases

The Authority leases certain equipment under operating leases expiring at various dates through 2013. Total rent expense for the year ended June 30, 2013 under the operating leases was \$96,326.

Future minimum lease payments under these operating lease agreements as of June 30, 2013 are as follows:

Year ending June 30	
2014 2015 2016 2017	\$ 97,141 99,200 99,200 
Total	\$393,615

#### (11) Insurance

The Authority is a member of the Association of California Water Agencies Joint Powers Insurance Authority (JPIA).

The JPIA is a risk-pooling, self-insurance authority, created under provisions of California Government Code Sections 6500 et seq. The purpose of the JPIA is to arrange and administer programs of insurance for the pooling of self-insured losses and to purchase excess insurance coverage. The JPIA provides coverage to the Authority for property losses and general liability. Members of the JPIA share the costs of professional risk management claims, administration and excess insurance. The Authority establishes a self-insured retention amount which represents the Authority's deductible per occurrence and the JPIA provides self-insured coverage up to established pool limits for the various types of insurance coverage.

The JPIA provides coverage to the Authority for property losses and general liability. Members of the JPIA share the costs of professional risk management claims, administration and excess insurance. The Authority establishes a self-insured retention amount which represents the Authority's deductible per occurrence and the JPIA provides self-insured coverage up to established pool limits for the various types of insurance coverage.

Coverage limits are \$1 million per occurrence for liability; replacement cost for property, subject to a \$1,000 deductible; and statutory limits for workers' compensation.

#### Notes to Basic Financial Statements

(Continued)

#### (12) Pollution Remediation

A pollution remediation obligation is an obligation to address the current or potential detrimental effects of existing pollution by participating in pollution remediation activities. For example, obligations to clean up spills of hazardous wastes or hazardous substances and obligations to remove contamination such as asbestos are pollution remediation obligations. An entity must recognize a liability when the government commences, or legally obligates itself to commence, cleanup activities or monitoring or operation and maintenance of the remediation effort.

Under these rules, the Authority has a requirement to record a liability for the future estimated pollution remediation costs, net of recovery from other parties. Since the future liability is 100% offset by recoveries from other responsible parties, the net obligation for the Authority is \$0.